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Running Title: Genetic discordance in Mazzaella laminarioides


#### Abstract

Mazzaella laminarioides (Bory) is a common haploid-diploid red alga that forms dense beds. This alga has a wide distribution range, covering 3,500km of the Chilean coast, but is restricted to high rocky intertidal zones. Recently, the existence of three highly divergent genetic lineages was demonstrated for this taxon, and two cytoplasmic markers were used to determine that these lineages are distributed in strict parapatry. Here, using 454 next-generation sequencing, we developed polymorphic microsatellite loci that cross amplify in all three cytoplasmic lineages. Six sites (i.e. two sites within each lineage) were analyzed using nine microsatellite loci. Our work shows that, although substantial cytoplasmic differentiation occurs within M. laminarioides, the microsatellite loci did not retrieved three nuclear genetic clusters as expected. Indeed, while the northernmost and southernmost cytoplasmic lineages form two strongly divergent nuclear groups characterized by diagnostic alleles, the third cytoplasmic lineage did not form a third nuclear independent group. It is possible that inter-lineage gene exchange has occurred, particularly at sites along the contact zone between the different cytoplasmic lineages. This nuclear-cytoplasmic incongruence in $M$. laminarioides could be explained by incomplete lineage sorting of the nuclear genes or asymmetric introgressive hybridization between the lineages. Finally, highly significant heterozygote deficiencies (suggesting occurrence of intergametophytic selfing) were observed in the three small northernmost sites while the large southernmost sites generally approached panmixia.
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Introduction
The Chilean coast is mostly linear from north to south and characterized by very dynamic and regionalized tectonic, oceanographic and climatic processes (Thiel et al. 2007, Guillemin et al. 2015). These coastal and oceanic features have led to contrasted pattern of marine biodiversity distributed over three main biogeographic regions (Camus 2001, Thiel et al. 2007). The particularities of the Chilean coast have stimulated a strong
interest in deciphering the phylogeographic patterns of the marine realm in this region and studies have accumulated rapidly during the last five years (see for review in invertebrates: Haye et al. 2014 and in seaweeds: Guillemin et al. 2015). More specifically, these two reviews report the use of molecular markers in species that cross the biogeographic boundaries to compare concordance among phylogeographic and biogeographic breaks. In seaweeds, based on the occurrence of divergent mitochondrial lineages, several putative cryptic species were uncovered along the Chilean coast: in Lessonia (Tellier et al. 2009), Durvillaea (Fraser et al. 2009), Adenocystis (Fraser et al. 2013), Mazzaella (Montecinos et al. 2012) and Nothogenia (Lindstrom et al. 2015). Most of these studies were only based on the analysis of the cytoplasmic genomic compartment, except for Lessonia in which comparison of divergence at nuclear and cytoplasmic markers supported the same pattern (Tellier et al. 2009). Furthermore, in this last case, nuclear microsatellites markers were used to demonstrate that the two cryptic species did not share any alleles and were, thus, reproductively isolated (Tellier et al. 2011).

However, conflicting geographic patterns between mitochondrial and nuclear genetic markers have been observed when demographic asymmetries produce dissimilar movement in the two marker types or when different selective pressures affect the mitochondrial and the nuclear genome (Toews and Brelsford 2012). For example, in the barnacle Notochthamalus scabrosus, Zakas et al. (2014) reported that the nuclear genome homogeneity throughout the central and northern regions of Chile contrasted with the strong mitochondrial divergence pattern described previously (Zakas et al. 2009). They concluded that there is little reason to treat the two mitochondrial groups as distinct species. In contrast with invertebrates, dispersal is generally limited to less than a few
kilometers in seaweed and such pattern of nuclear homogeneity along the Chilean coast is not expected in those organisms (Kinlan and Gaines, 2003). It is thus interesting to test for algae cryptic species, which were defined on the basis of divergent cytoplasmic lineages, if their pattern of nuclear genetic structure is congruent with their cytoplasmic divergence.

Mazzaella laminarioides is a haploid-diploid rocky shore species that forms dense beds in high intertidal zones. This carrageenophyte is an economically important resource in Chile and is harvested from natural populations by small fishing communities (Buschmann et al. 2001). M. laminarioides is non-buoyant and is considered to be a poor disperser (Faugeron et al. 2001). The species distribution range encompasses a high variety of environmental conditions as it covers $3,500 \mathrm{~km}\left(28-56^{\circ} \mathrm{S}\right)$ of Chilean coastline (Thiel et al. 2007). Using two cytoplasmic genes (COI, mitochondrial and $r b c \mathrm{~L}$, chloroplast), Montecinos et al. (2012) revealed strong genetic structure within $M$. laminarioides with the existence of three divergent genetic lineages distributed along the Chilean coast. They reported the presence of a northern lineage from $28^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ to $32^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$, a central lineage from $34^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ to $37^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$, and a southern lineage from $39^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ to $56^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$. Guillemin et al. (2015) confirmed that the three lineages are distributed in strict parapatry with sharp phylogeographic breaks of a few kilometers in width. However, the presence of reproductive barriers has not been tested between these three lineages.

In M. laminarioides, the three cytoplasmic lineages were separated for the $r b c \mathrm{~L}$ by 0.6 to $1.0 \%$ divergence and for COI by 2.6 to $7.8 \%$ divergence and no cytoplasmic incongruence was observed (Montecinos et al. 2012). In red algae, where both plastid and mitochondria DNA maternal inheritance have been observed (Zuccarello and West 2011),
cytoplasmic incongruence have generally been related to events of interspecific hybridization (Destombe et al. 2010). These results suggest that strong reproductive barriers probably evolved between the three lineages of $M$. laminarioides limiting hybridization even in the contact zones. Indeed, in the Rhodophyta, laboratory crosses between phylogenetic species have generally revealed complete reproductive incompatibility that correlates with cytoplasmic genetic distances (Brodie and Zuccarello 2006, Zuccarello and West 2011 and reference therein). In the three species complex thoroughly studied (i.e. Spyridia, Bostrychia, and Mastocarpus), the experiments showed that strains sharing the same chloroplastic haplotypes (rubisco spacer) were always fully compatible while strains differing by only 0.6 to $2.1 \%$ were not able to be crossed (Zuccarello and West 2002, Zuccarello and West 2003, Zuccarello et al. 2005).

We can predict that, in agreement with plastid and mitochondrial information, the nuclear genome should present strong genetic discontinuities with no or very limited gene flow among the three cytoplasmic lineages of $M$. laminarioides. In this context, we developed nine microsatellite markers for the red alga M. laminarioides in order to confirm the existence of nuclear genetic structure and to test for potential hybridization between the three previously described parapatric cytoplasmic lineages.

## Material and Methods

Development of microsatellite markers - In order to construct the 454 libraries, a single haploid (i.e. a female gametophyte) specimen was used as the source of DNA for each three cytoplasmic lineages of M. laminarioides. Samples from Fray Jorge $\left(30^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{S} / 71^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{W}\right)$, Constitución $\left(35^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{S} / 72^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{W}\right)$ and Chiloe $\left(41^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{S} / 71^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{W}\right)$ were
used for the northern, central and southern lineage respectively (Montecinos et al. 2012). DNA was extracted following the protocol described by Saunders (1993); slight modifications were made according to Faugeron et al. (2001). PicoGreen ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ fluorescence enhancement (Ahn et al. 1996) was used to test DNA quality and quantity. DNA sequencing was performed using a 454 GS Junior Titanium Series (Roche) at the AUSTRAL-omics Core-Facilities. Briefly, each DNA sample was tagged using different multiplex identifiers (MIDs). DNA library fragments were captured onto beads and clonally amplified within individual emulsion droplets. Amplified fragments from all three lineages were evenly mixed and sequenced on 3 PicoTiterPlates. Library preparation, amplification, and sequencing were carried out following the manufacturer protocols (Roche Diagnostics Corporation, Branford, Connecticut USA). The assembly of the reads was performed using the MIRA (Chevreux et al. 1999) and CAP3 (Huang and Madan 1999) software programs. In order to determine which contigs correspond to nuclear sequences, nucleotide BLAST (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) searches were performed using the complete mitochondrial genome of Chondrus crispus (25.836 bp NC_001677) and using the complete plastid genome of C. crispus (180.086 bp, HF562234). Moreover, in order to identified loci sequenced in more than one of our three 454 libraries, we performed nucleotide BLAST searches between the three cytoplasmic lineages contig files.

Nucleotide repeats from di- to hexa-nucleotides were identified using
MSATCOMMANDER (Faircloth 2008). Generally, the BLAST searches between the three cytoplasmic lineages contig files show that the same microsatellite locus have been sequenced in more than one of our three 454 libraries (see Table S1). For microsatellite
loci present in more than one of our three 454 libraries, alignment between the different cytoplasmic lineages were performed in GENEIOUS R6 for each locus (Biomatters Ltd.). For 30 loci with a high number of repetitions (at least 7 repetitions) and long flanking regions located in the nuclear contigs, primers pairs were designed using GENEIOUS R6 (Biomatters Ltd.). When the same microsatellite locus was encountered in more than one 454 library (see Table S 1 ) the primer pairs were designed within the more conserved part of the flanking region. All loci for which alignment between the different cytoplasmic lineages were performed showed clear homologies in their flanking regions.

Following primer design, PCR cross amplification of all three lineages was performed; for this, three individuals from Fray Jorge, three individuals from Constitución, and three individuals from Chiloe were used. For the 20 loci that amplified successfully in all three lineages, high resolution melting analysis (HRMA, Mackay et al. 2008) was used to make a preliminary assessment of variability. For each lineage, three sites were tested: Fray Jorge, Puerto Obscuro, and Maitencillo for the northern lineage; Topocalma, Constitución, and Concepción for the central lineage; and Pucatrihue, Chiloe, and Punta Arenas for the southern lineage. Fifteen haploid individuals from each site were used. The COI regions of all of the haploid samples were previously sequenced in the study of Montecinos et al. (2012). The HRMA analyses showed that twelve loci were polymorphic for M. laminarioides.

Genotyping - The twelve polymorphic loci were used to genotype 96 diploid individuals sampled from Caleta Sauce (SAU, N=16), Mina Talca (MIT, N=18), Montemar (MTM, $\mathrm{N}=16$ ), Lebu (LEB, $\mathrm{N}=16$ ), Pilolcura (PIL, $\mathrm{N}=16$ ) and Melinka (MLK, $\mathrm{N}=14$ ) (see Figure 1A). The samples from SAU and MIT represent the northern cytoplasmic lineage, the
samples from MTM and LEB represent the central cytoplasmic lineage, and the samples from PIL and MLK represent the southern cytoplasmic lineage (Figure 1A). Cytoplasmic markers sequences are not available for all the samples genotyped with microsatellites. However, since the geographic distribution of the three different cytoplasmic clades (Montecinos et al., 2012) was shown to be strictly parapatric (i.e. each region corresponding to a single cytoplasmic clade with no mixture and no overlapping of distribution of haplotypes between regions), geographical origin of the individuals was considered as a good proxy for cytoplasmic clades' assignation. Direct observations of the reproductive organs (tetrads) in the field were used to select only diploid tetrasporophytes in each localities sampled.

PCR reactions were performed in $12.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reactions containing 50 ng of template DNA, 1X PCR buffer, $0.2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{dNTPs}, 0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each primer and 1 U Top Taq DNA polymerase (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). The concentrations of BSA and $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ are shown in Table S1. The PCR program consisted of an initial denaturation of 3 min at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 35$ cycles each with $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $40 \mathrm{~s}, 40 \mathrm{~s}$ at optimized Ta (Table S1), and 30 s at $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and with a final extension of 7 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. PCR products were sent to the Servicio de Secuenciacion Depto. Ecologia, Pontificia Universidad Catolica de Chile, Chile, for fragment analyses. Fragments were separated using an Applied Biosystems 3130XL Genetic Analyzer (Life Technologies Corporation).

Statistical analyses - Allele size was determined with the GENEMARKER software (SoftGenetics, State Collage, PA, USA). Prior to analyses, the frequency of null alleles was estimated for each locus using MICRO-CHECKER (Van Oosterhout et al. 2004; Brookfield equation 2, Brookfield 1996). Linkage disequilibrium at all locus pairs was
assessed using FSTAT version 2.9.3.2 (Goudet 2001). Statistical significance of LD was calculated based on 1000 permutations using Bonferonni correction for $\alpha=0.05$. Single and multilocus estimates of genetic diversity were calculated as the mean number of alleles per locus ( Na ), expected heterozygosity (He, sensus Nei 1978) and observed heterozygosity (Ho) using GENETIX 4.05 (Belkhir et al. 1996-2004). Allele frequencies were calculated for each study site at each locus and plotted using the R-package StandArich (available at http://www.ualg.pt/ccmar/maree/software.php, F. Alberto, University of Algarve, Faro, Portugal). Single and multilocus estimates of deviation from random mating $\left(F_{\text {IS }}\right)$ were calculated according to Weir and Cockerham (1984), significance was determined by running 1000 permutations of alleles among individuals within sites using GENETIX 4.05 (Belkhir et al. 1996-2004). Genetic differentiation among sites was analyzed by estimating $F_{\mathrm{ST}}(\theta)$ (Weir and Cockerham 1984); significance of $F_{\text {ST }}$ values was assessed by running 1000 permutations using GENETIX 4.05 (Belkhir et al. 1996-2004). Principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted using PCAGEN (Goudet 1999) to visualize pairwise differentiation among sites $\left(F_{\mathrm{ST}}\right)$; 1,000 randomizations of genotypes were used to determine axis significance. Bayesian inference was implemented in STRUCTURE v. 2.2 (Pritchard et al. 2000) in order to detect potential signs of hybridization and/or introgression between lineages. We used the admixture model and allowed for correlated allele frequencies between sites. A range of clusters (K), from 1 to 10 were tested. Each run, replicated 20 times, consisted of 400000 iterations after a "burn-in" of 200000. To infer which K best fit the data, we applied the ad hoc $\Delta \mathrm{K}$ statistic developed by Evanno et al. (2005). The results from STRUCTURE were then compared to those of INSTRUCT (Gao et al. 2007), which relaxes

STRUCTURE's assumption of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium as it was designed for species with significant self-fertilization. INSTRUCT was run with the parameters "-K 3 -v 2 -x 0 -w 0 -j 20000 -e 0 -f $0-L 9-N 96-p 2-u 600000$-b 200000 -t 10 -c 20 -sl 0.95 g 1 -r 20000 -ik $1-k v 110-\mathrm{df} 1$-af $0-\mathrm{mm} 2.0 \mathrm{e} 9$ " with K ranging from 1 to 10 (i.e. -kv ). For both STRUCTURE and INSTRUCT analyses, combined results of the independent runs were obtained using the greedy algorithm with100000 random input orders in CLUMMP (Jakobsson and Rosenberg 2007) before exporting the results to DISTRUCT (Rosenberg 2004) for viewing.

Results
We obtained 172872 reads for the northern lineage, 238901 reads for the central lineage and 111945 reads for the southern lineage (average length: 436 bp ). In total, 1091 microsatellite inserts were observed for the northern lineage, 1805 for the central lineage and 667 for the southern lineage (see Table S2 for more details). Most of the microsatellites recovered were di- (87.3\%) and trinucleotides (10.8\%) while larger repeated motifs were rare ( $0.9 \%$ tetranucleotides and $0.9 \%$ pentanucleotides) (Table S2).

Of the 12 polymorphic loci developed during this study (Table S1, Table S3), three loci (Ml_39C37, Ml_106C1748 and Ml_106C203) were not retained for population genetic analyses. The locus Ml_39C37 was not retained because it presented strong and significant linkage disequilibrium with locus Ml_106C32. The locus M1_106C1748 failed to amplify in 33 of the 96 DNA samples $(\mathrm{NI} / \mathrm{n}=0.6$, Table S 3$)$ and the locus Ml_106C203 presented a very low level of polymorphism. Indeed, Ml_106C203 was
fixed for all sites from the northern and southern lineage and only two alleles were observed over the whole dataset (Table S3).

For the nine loci selected (i.e. shaded in grey in Table S3), observed heterozygosities ranged from 0.11 to 0.57 while expected heterozygosities ranged from 0.59 to 0.87 for the whole data set (Table S3). The number of alleles encountered in each site varied from 1 to 10 while the average number of alleles per locus was $3.11(\mathrm{SE}=$ 1.69) (Table S3). Three loci were moderately polymorphic (4-6 alleles) and six were highly variable (9-26 alleles). The frequency of null alleles was significant for five loci in some of the sites studied (M1_106C75 in four sites; Ml_106C32 in two sites; and Ml_39C1451, Ml_39C5118 and Ml_39C4313 in only one site) (Table S3). The estimated frequency of null alleles ranged from 0.12 to 0.36 (Table S3).
$F_{\text {IS }}$ values were highly variable among loci and sites. The number of significant $F_{\text {IS }}$ values per locus was only slightly higher in the central lineage $(8 / 16)$ than in the northern (6/15) and southern lineages (6/17) (Table S3). However, the $F_{\text {IS }}$ multilocus estimates (Table 1) show that only LEB and PIL were close to panmixia ( $F_{\text {IS }}=-0.03$ and -0.07 , respectively). Alternatively, a negative and significant $F_{\text {IS }}$ was encountered for $\operatorname{MLK}\left(F_{\text {IS }}=-0.17\right)$, and positive and significant $F_{\text {IS }}$ values were encountered in the three northern most sites of SAU, MIT and MTM ( $F_{\text {IS }}=0.16,0.14$ and 0.45 , respectively, Table 1). Similar results were obtained when corrections for null alleles were made (Table 1).

Allele size distributions generally overlapped between cytoplasmic lineages when all six sites were taken into account (Figure S1). However, for three of the 12 loci (M1_106_C462, Ml_39_C69 and Ml_106_C32, Figure S1), allele distributions were
totally disjoint between the southernmost and northernmost cytoplasmic clades (i.e. these three loci are diagnostic for each of the two clades). In addition, a clear gradient of variation in allele size with respect to latitude was observed for those three loci (Figure S1). For the loci Ml_39C69 and Ml_106C462, shorter alleles were encountered in sites from the northern lineage while for the locus M1_106C32 shorter alleles were observed in sites from the southern lineage (Figure S 1 ). Sites belonging to the central lineage had alleles of intermediate size; the MTM site presented allele sizes that were more similar to the northern lineage while the LEB site presented allele sizes was more similar to the southern lineage (Figure S1). For the Ml_106_C462, Ml_39_C69 and Ml_106_C32 loci, the LEB site presented $27.3 \%$ of private alleles, $54.5 \%$ of alleles that were shared with the two sites representing the southern cytoplasmic clade (PIL and MLK) and 9.1\% of alleles that were shared with the two sites representing the northern cytoplasmic clade (SAU and MIT) (Figure S1). For the same three loci, the MTM site presented $76.9 \%$ of private alleles, $7.7 \%$ of alleles that were shared with the two sites representing the southern cytoplasmic clade and $23.1 \%$ of alleles that were shared with the two sites representing the northern cytoplasmic clade (Figure S1).

The PCA summarized the information given by the nine loci (Figure 1B) and showed results that were congruent with the allele size distribution results. The first two axes explained $39.4 \%$ (PC1) and $26.0 \%$ ( PC 2 ) of the total genetic differentiation $\left(F_{\mathrm{ST}}\right)$ (Figure 1B). The first axis separated sites of the northern lineage (SAU and MIT) from all other lineages (MTM, LEB, PIL and MLK) while the second axis discriminated sites of the central lineage from those of the southern lineage (Figure 1B). However, the MTM site holds a unique position in the PCA and was located in-between the group composed
of the three southernmost sites (LEB, PIL and MLK) and the two sites from the northern lineage along the first axis and was separated from the other five study sites along the second axis (Figure 1B). All pairwise $F_{\mathrm{ST}}$ multilocus values were significantly different from zero and ranged from 0.253 (between PIL and MLK, both from the southern lineage) to 0.581 (between PIL and SAU, northern vs southern lineage differentiation, Table S4). Similar results were found when using the dataset after corrections for null alleles were made (pairwise $F_{\text {ST }}$ ranges from 0.249 to 0.572 , Table S4).

Results from the clustering analysis performed with both STRUCTURE and INSTRUCT, revealed that the posterior probability of the data increased steadily from K $=1$ to $\mathrm{K}=6$ while the curves dropped after $\mathrm{K}=7$ (Figure S 2 and S 3 ). The $\Delta \mathrm{K}$ method of Evanno et al. (Evanno et al. 2005) clearly shows that both K2 and K6 clusters are the optimal numbers of clusters in our study (Figure S2). Contrary to our expectations, the number of nuclear genetic clusters retrieved was two or even six but definitely not three as predicted with organelle sequences. For $\mathrm{K}=6$, both STRUCTURE and INSTRUCT identified the same 6 clusters each corresponding to a different sampling site (STRUCTURE: Figure 2; INSTRUCT: Figure S3). Almost all individuals from the same site had similar membership coefficients and, overall, a very low level of admixture was observed (Figure 2, K6 and Figure S3). This result indicated that differentiation occurs between all of the sampling sites. The Bayesian clustering assignment in STRUCTURE for $\mathrm{K}=2$ shows that all individuals from the northern lineage (i.e. SAU and MIT) grouped together while the three southernmost sites belonging to the central and southern lineages (i.e. LEB, PIL and MLK) formed another cluster. However, clustering of the MTM individuals to one or the other cluster did vary among the different STRUCTURE
runs. Eleven of the 20 runs (Figure 2, K2 upper graph) assigned all MTM individuals to the northern genetic cluster while individuals from MTM were assigned to the southern genetic cluster for the other 9 runs (Figure 2, K2 lower graph). Only central lineage cytoplasmic COI sequences were observed (M-L. Guillemin, unpublished data) in this site located only 13 kilometres from the contact zone with the northern lineage (Figure 1A).

## Discussion

In this study, nine variable microsatellite markers have been developed for $M$. laminarioides using 454 next-generation sequencing. Recently, this method has been used successfully to develop microsatellite markers for various eukaryotic species (see the review by Meglécz et al. 2012) including some red algae (Couceiro et al. 2011a, Pardo et al. 2014, Ayres-Ostrock et al. 2015). Repetitive sequences were encountered in less than $0.7 \%$ of the M. laminarioides reads. In other red algae (Couceiro et al. 2011a, Pardo et al. 2014, Ayres-Ostrock et al. 2015) and in corrals (Ruiz-Ramos and Baums 2014), the percentage of repetitive sequences is generally much higher and ranges from $1.3 \%$ in the candelabrum coral Eunicea flexuosa to $11.6 \%$ in the coralline alga Phymatolithon calcareum. This scarcity of repetitive sequences clearly reduced our capacity to develop microsatellites markers for M. laminarioides where less than $0.03 \%$ of the reads yielded microsatellites with sufficient motif repetitions (i.e. $>7$ ). Considering that the main aim of this study was to investigate the pattern of gene flow across the $33^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ and the $38^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ transition zones, only loci that amplified in all three lineages were selected. A reported drawback of cross-amplifying loci is the high probability of generating an
uneven amplification of the target loci, which could result from defective primers or deviations from optimal amplification conditions, between different taxa (Selkoe and Toonen 2006). In our study, five loci revealed a moderate to high frequency of null alleles in some sites. In M. laminarioides, no clear differences were observed between the $F_{\text {IS }}$ and the $F_{\text {ST }}$ estimates calculated using the uncorrected or corrected datasets. As such, the $F_{\text {IS }}$ and $F_{\mathrm{ST}}$ values obtained in our study, even if null alleles are potentially present, can be used to infer biological processes in this species.

The results found here only partially supported the previously defined $M$. laminarioides lineages based on cytoplasmic markers (Montecinos et al. 2012). Indeed, contrary to our expectations, the number of main nuclear genetic clusters retrieved by STRUCTURE was two and not three as predicted with organelle sequences. Based on the nuclear marker data, the two northernmost sites (SAU and MIT) that correspond to the northern cytoplasmic lineage and the two southernmost sites (PIL and MLK) that correspond to the southern cytoplasmic lineage formed two differentiated groups. The presence of several unique, high frequency diagnostic alleles supports the existence of a long-term divergence between these two lineages. On the other hand and contrary to the previous results found using cytoplasmic markers (Montecinos et al. 2012), the sites from the central cytoplasmic lineage (MTM and LEB) do not represent a third well-separated group according to nuclear microsatellites. While LEB clearly grouped with sites of the southern cytoplasmic lineage, the position of MTM stays unclear. Indeed, MTM appeared highly differentiated from the other five sites sampled in the PCA. However, shared alleles with sites that correspond to both the northern and southern cytoplasmic lineages were observed in MTM and the site was not assigned to a third main nuclear genetic
cluster in the STRUCTURE analyses but actually shifted between the northern and the southern genetic cluster, depending on the STRUCTURE run for K2. The discordance observed between the present study, based on nuclear markers, and the previously published work based on cytoplasmic markers could simply be explained by incomplete lineage sorting of the nuclear genes. Due to the differences in effective population size between the maternally and the bi-parentally inherited genomes (nuclear effective population size is approximately four times greater than that of the mitochondria and chloroplast) lineage sorting is expected to be faster for organelle genomes than for the nucleus. It is thus expected to observe greater genetic differentiation using mtDNA and cpDNA genes than when using nuclear genes (see Zink and Barrowclough 2008 for a review). Discordance between genomes can also arise if there are differences in the way selection acts on the non-recombinant cytoplasmic genomes as compared to the nuclear genome; or when past and / or present gene flow took / takes place between lineages (Toews and Brelsford 2012). In their study, Montecinos et al. (2012) recognized that cytoplasmic markers showed shallower divergence between the central and southern linages than between the northern lineage and the central/southern clade. Accordingly, complete reproductive barriers may not have yet evolved between the more recently diverging central and southern linages; this suggests that contemporary gene flow might still connect the three southernmost sites of LEB, PIL, and MLK. Moreover, asymmetric introgressive hybridization in the past could also have lead to the incongruence pattern observed in LEB. In algae, such nuclear / cytoplasmic incongruences were observed in various species and interpreted as footprints of hybridization (Destombe et al. 2010, Hoarau et al. 2015) or past introgression (Neiva et al. 2010, Hoarau et al. 2015). In
contrast, the pattern observed for MTM, the other central cytoplasmic lineage site, does not fit this hypothesis of asymmetric introgression. MTM was highly differentiated from both the northern sites (SAU and MIT, $\sim 230 \mathrm{~km}$ away, $F_{\mathrm{ST}}>0.5$ ) and the central and southern sites (LEB, PIL and MLK, $\sim 550 \mathrm{~km}$ away, $F_{\mathrm{ST}}>0.4$ ). Individuals from this site exhibited a high number of private alleles with intermediate sizes between the sizes of the diagnostic alleles of the two nuclear groups. The MTM site is located only 13 kilometers away from the contact zone with the northern lineage. However, only one individual of MTM was determined to be a possible hybrid. This individual correspond to a genotype assigned mostly to the MTM cluster but for which the secondary cluster of MIT represents more than $5 \%$ of the genome in both the STRUCTURE and the INSTRUCT clustering results for K6 (see Figure 2 and S3). The genetic composition of this individual was more consistent with an old hybridization event followed by consecutive backcrosses than with a recent hybridization event. This result reinforce the idea that gene leakage is reduced even at the $33^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ transition zone margin.

It is hypothesized that progressive, multiple genome-wide changes accumulated by drift or selection between two gene pools will lead to the build-up of reproductive isolation over time (Nosil and Feder 2012). Hybridization and introgression between two diverging taxa should then diminish with time of divergence. At first sight, this supports the idea that, while reaching a determined threshold of genetic distance, all taxa in a taxonomic group will reach complete reproductive isolation and could then be recognized as a biological species. The existence of such a correlation is the key argument provided to support the creation of a universal threshold to define species using DNA barcoding methods (the $1 \%$ threshold for the COI mitochondrial marker as mentioned in BOLD,

Ratnasingham and Hebert 2007). However, the timeframe of speciation is strongly influenced by factors such as drift, opportunities for ecological adaptation, and intrinsic rates of mutations (Sobel et al., 2010), and these factors have been shown to vary depending on the taxa being studied (Dufresnes et al. 2014 and 2015). For COI in red algae, genetic distances between 4.5 to $13.6 \%$ were reported between species of the same genus (Saunders 2005, Clarkston and Saunders 2010) but sister species of Mazzaella that diverged by less than $1.2 \%$ were also reported (Saunders 2005). In M. laminarioides, the genetic distance between the three COI-defined cytoplasmic lineages were well within the red algae threshold to delimit species in this genus (2.6 to $7.8 \%$, Montecinos et al. 2012). Our work shows that, although substantial mtDNA and cpDNA differentiation occurs within M. laminarioides (Montecinos et al. 2012), this does not necessarily correlate with the existence of complete reproductive isolation. In this study, as in others focused on recently diverging lineages distributed in parapatry (Colliard et al. 2010, Zarza 2011, Dufresnes et al. 2014 and 2015), cytoplasmic markers and microsatellites did not reveal the same pattern of genetic subdivision. However, due to the low number of sites sampled in our study, the true number of M. laminarioides nuclear genetic groups will remain unresolved until a more detailed sampling is conducted.

The very high $F_{\text {ST }}$ estimates $($ all $>0.24)$ obtained in our study suggest that gene flow between the sampled sites is minimal even when sites were previously classified as part of the same nuclear genetic group and cytoplasmic lineage. The retrieval of six clusters, each corresponding to a different sampling site and showing a very low level of admixture, by both STRUCTURE and INSTRUCT, confirm the high differentiation between our six sampling sites. Red algae, that lack long-lived motile gametes, spores,
and floating structures, are ranked among the poorest dispersers when compared to other marine taxa (Kinlan and Gaines 2003). Genetic differentiation has been reported at the scale of kilometres or even meters in these organisms (Faugeron et al. 2001, Zuccarello et al. 2001, Engel et al. 2004, Couceiro et al. 2011b, Krueger-Hadfield et al. 2013). As reported for M. laminarioides by Faugeron et al. (2001) using random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers, high and significant genetic structure exists between sites located less than 40km apart ( $F_{\mathrm{ST}}=0.39$ between SAU and MIT). $F_{\mathrm{ST}}$ estimates between the three southernmost sites (LEB, PIL and MLK), spanning more than 730 km of coast, were significant but lower $\left(0.24<F_{\mathrm{ST}}<0.35\right)$ than estimates calculated for the northern sites. Interestingly, the same pattern was reported for the cytoplasmic markers (Montecinos et al. 2012) where genetic structure was much more pronounced in the northern lineage than in the southern lineage. The authors associated the attributed differences between the northern and southern groups to historical demographic differences. They concluded that southern populations of M. laminarioides were strongly affected by Quaternary glaciations that would have caused recent demographic expansion while northern populations would have experienced repeated local extinctions and range fragmentations due to the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) (Montecinos et al. 2012).

These regional differences between the north and the south could also explain the marked differences between the $F_{\text {IS }}$ estimates reported in our study. Highly significant heterozygote deficiencies were observed in the three northernmost site of SAU, MIT and MTM while the sites of LEB and PIL had observed heterozygosities close to random mating. Departure from random mating in the north could be explained by the recurrence
of massive mortality due to ENSO events observed in this region; this would have resulted in patches of small fragmented populations (as reported in algae, Martínez et al. 2003). In the site of MTM, located at the edge of the contact zone with the highly divergent northern lineage (Montecinos et al. 2012), selfing (intergametophytic selfing, see Krueger-Hadfield et al. 2015) could limit gene flow and protect parental genotypes from the formation of hybrid progeny (Antonovics 1968). The prominent role of selfing in the emergence of reproductive barriers has been observed in plants (Martin and Willis 2007, Matallana et al. 2010), fungi (Gibson et al. 2011) and brown algae (Engel et al. 2005, Billard et al. 2010, Hoarau et al. 2015). Small scale sampling within the $33^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ contact zone is needed to both determine the exact role of selfing as a reinforcement mechanism in M. laminarioides and to better characterize the possible mechanisms responsible of the onset and progress of divergence between the northern and central/south lineages.

In conclusion, this study revealed discordant pattern of geographic variation between nuclear microsatellite markers and cytoplasmic sequences in the red alga Mazzaella laminarioides. The presence of several diagnostic alleles did support the existence of a long-term divergence between the northernmost and southernmost cytoplasmic lineages. However, the central cytoplasmic lineage did not form a third nuclear independent group and a high number of shared alleles are observed, principally with the southern cytoplasmic lineage. This shared polymorphism may be explained either by retention of ancestral polymorphism or by hyridization between cytoplasmic lineages. The likelihood of shared ancestral polymorphism vs. recurrent gene flow in these closely related parapatric lineages is a question difficult to test but that we cannot
resolve. Indeed, our study reveals intriguing results for the two sites of the central lineage and jointly leads us to ask if MTM (located at the northern limit of the central lineage) could represent a third nuclear group while the pattern observed in LEB (located in the southern part of the central lineage distribution) is mostly due to ancient or recent gene flow with the neighboring southern lineage. A much denser sampling, especially between the $33^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ and the $38^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ where the central lineage is located, is needed to better characterize the complex genetic pattern observed in this region. The southern part of the $33-38^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ coast forms a mosaic of sandy beaches and rocky coast characterized by rapid changes due to high tectonic activity. Processes, linked to extinction and recolonization, have probably shaped the intertidal algae population of this region leading to complex pattern of genetic differentiation. Nuclear microsatellites clearly show that $M$. laminarioides does not correspond to a single species widely distributed over $3,500 \mathrm{~km}$ of Chilean coast. These markers rather suggest that one or several speciation processes have probably shaped the present-day patterns of genetic diversity in this species complex. Unraveling the relations between the M. laminarioides taxa should be the goal of future works.
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Figure 1: Geographic location of the study sites and cytoplasmic clades along the Chilean coast (A) and principal component analysis (PCA) based on mean pairwise $F_{\text {ST }}$ averaged over the nine selected loci (B). In the map, brackets show the cytoplasmic lineages of $M$. laminarioides: "north", "center" and "south". Ellipsoids delineating the three cytoplasmic lineages of Mazzaella laminarioides in the PCA were drawn for better visualization, but they do not have any statistical support.

Figure 2: Bayesian analysis using STRUCTURE for the six study sites of Mazzaella laminarioides. Each vertical bar represents a different individual. Each shade represents the proportion of individual genome assigned to each genetic group and * indicates individuals for which a secondary cluster represents more than $5 \%$ of the genome (i.e. admixed individuals). Individuals are ordered from north to south. Results are shown for K2 and K6. For K2, since the 20 independent runs give contrasting results depending on the run, two graphs are presented: one that corresponds to 11 of the 20 runs (upper graph) and the other that corresponds to the remaining 9 of the 20 runs (lower graph).

Table 1: Multilocus estimates of the number of alleles per locus ( Na ), expected heterozygosity $(H e)$, observed heterozygosity $(H o)$ and $F_{\text {IS }}$ calculated for the nine selected microsatellite loci. Significant departure from panmixia was tested by running 1,000 permutations of alleles among individuals within sites using GENETIX 4.05 (Belkhir et al. 1996-2004). $F_{\text {IS }}$ values significantly different from zero are shown in bold. Both an uncorrected dataset and a dataset corrected for null alleles (Oosterhout et al. 2004) were used for the calculations. For $N a, H e$ and $H o$, mean and standard deviation computed over the nine loci are noted.

| Geographic origin of sampled sites | Site | Na | He | Ho | $F_{\text {IS }}$ | $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Uncorrected data set |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northern cytoplasmic lineage | SAU | 3.11 (1.69) | 0.30 (0.23) | 0.26 (0.26) | 0.16 | 0.0180 |
|  | MIT | 3.67 (2.69) | 0.46 (0.28) | 0.41 (0.30) | 0.14 | 0.0360 |
| Central cytoplasmic lineage | MTM | 3.56 (2.35) | 0.37 (0.28) | 0.21 (0.23) | 0.45 | 0.0000 |
|  | LEB | 3.89 (1.83) | 0.48 (0.14) | 0.51 (0.32) | -0.03 | 0.3580 |
| Southern cytoplasmic lineage | PIL | 3.11 (1.54) | 0.35 (0.22) | 0.38 (0.27) | -0.07 | 0.1230 |
|  | MLK | 3.22 (1.09) | 0.55 (0.07) | 0.66 (0.22) | -0.17 | 0.0110 |
| Data set corrected for the presence of null alleles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northern cytoplasmic lineage | SAU | 3.11 (1.69) | 0.30 (0.24) | 0.27 (0.26) | 0.12 | 0.0450 |
|  | MIT | 3.67 (2.69) | 0.47 (0.29) | 0.42 (0.31) | 0.13 | 0.0180 |
| Central cytoplasmic lineage | MTM | 3.56 (2.35) | 0.40 (0.31) | 0.24 (0.24) | 0.45 | 0.0000 |
|  | LEB | 3.89 (1.83) | 0.49 (0.14) | 0.54 (0.29) | -0.06 | 0.2100 |
| Southern cytoplasmic lineage | PIL | 3.11 (1.54) | 0.35 (0.22) | 0.39 (0.27) | -0.08 | 0.1350 |
|  | MLK | 3.22 (1.09) | 0.55 (0.08) | 0.66 (0.22) | -0.17 | 0.0180 |



## Cytoplasmic lineages

| North | Center | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

K2



Table S1: Characteristics of 12 polymorphic microsatellite loci for the three lineages of Mazzaella laminarioides. Repeat motifs are given for every lineage for which the microsatellite sequence was encountered in the 454 nuclear contigs ( $\mathrm{N}=$ northern lineage, $\mathrm{C}=$ central lineage and $\mathrm{S}=$ southern lineage). PCR conditions are given for each lineage.

| Locus | Primer sequence ( $5^{\prime}-3{ }^{\prime}$ ) | Repeat motif ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BSA } \\ & (\mu \mathrm{g} / \mu \mathrm{L}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Mg} \\ & (\mathrm{mM}) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Ta}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M1_106_C462 | F: GCAAGGGATGACCATGAC <br> R: CTTGTGCCACCTCTATTCTAAGC | N : | 0.2 | 1 | 62 |
|  |  | C: | 0-0.2* | 1-1.5* | 62 |
|  |  | S: $(\overline{T G A})_{12}$ | 0 | 1 | 62 |
| M1_106_C10 | F: GCGTGTAGCACAGTACTTCTAC <br> R: GAAAGCACCGAAACACAGCC | $\mathrm{N}:(\mathrm{CA})_{10} \mathrm{AATA}(\mathrm{CA})_{4}$ | 0 | 1 | 65-67* |
|  |  | C: $\mathrm{CATA}(\mathrm{CA})_{9}$ | 0 | 1 | 67 |
|  |  | S: $(\mathrm{CA})_{13} \mathrm{AATA}^{\text {(CA) }} 4$ | 0 | 1 | 65-67* |
| M1_39_C69 | F: GCTGTCGAGTGTACGTTTCG | $\mathrm{N}:$ | 0.1 | 1.2 | 60 |
|  | R: GCCTCTGTGAAGCAAGCA | C: (GA) ${ }_{9}$ | 0.1 | 1.2 | 60 |
|  |  | S: (GA) ${ }_{8}$ | 0.1 | 1.2 | 60 |
| M1_106_C75 | F: CGCAATCGGGAGCCATCG | $\mathrm{N}:(\mathrm{GGCTC})_{12}$ | 0 | 0.8 | 67 |
|  | R: CCCTATCGTGTGTTGCCACCG | C: $(\mathrm{GGCTC})_{7}$ | 0 | 0.8 | 67 |
|  |  | S: (GGCTC) ${ }_{5}$ | 0 | 0.8 | 65-67* |
| M1_106_C32 | F: CTGGTACAGTACCGAAGATGTC | $\mathrm{N}:(\mathrm{AC})_{12}(\text { ATACACACAC })_{6}$ | 0.15 | 1.2 | 63-64* |
|  | R: GTTGGGTAATCGAAGAAGATGA | $\mathrm{C}:(\mathrm{AC})_{23} \mathrm{ATACTC}(\mathrm{AC})_{2}$ | 0.15 | 1.2 | 63 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{S}:(\mathrm{AC})_{13} \mathrm{ATACTC}(\mathrm{AC})_{2}$ | 0.15 | 1.2 | 64 |
| Ml_106_C1748 | F: CGTGATGTGTGTCATTTTATCCC | ```N: (CCTC)4}\mp@subsup{)}{44}{}\mp@subsup{N}{4}{}(\textrm{TG}\mp@subsup{)}{2}{}\textrm{TA}(\textrm{TG}\mp@subsup{)}{2}{}(\textrm{TG TGTA)3``` | 0.2 | 1 | 58 |
|  | R: CTGTTCCTGTTGTTCCTGCG | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}: \\ & (\mathrm{CCTC})_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{44}(\mathrm{TG})_{2} \mathrm{TA}(\mathrm{TG})_{2} \mathrm{GA} \\ & (\mathrm{TG})_{11} \end{aligned}$ | 0.05 | 1 | 61 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{S}:(\mathrm{CCTC})_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{44}(\mathrm{TG})_{2} \mathrm{TA}(\mathrm{TG})_{11}$ | 0.05 | 1 | 61 |


| M1_39_C1451 | F: GGGAAAAAGCGAGCAATTTG | N : | 0 | - | 58 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R: CTGTGCCGTCTGACATTG | C: (TG) $_{8} \mathrm{CG}(\mathrm{TG})_{4}$ | 0.2 | 1.5 | 58 |
|  |  | S: $(\mathrm{TG})_{6} \mathrm{CG}(\mathrm{TG})_{4}$ | 0.2 | 1.5 | 58 |
| Ml_39_C5118 | F: GACTTCGCTGTCCATCCA | N : | 0 | - | 64 |
|  | R: CAACGAATCATCCAGACGAC | $\mathrm{C}:(\mathrm{TG})_{7} \mathrm{TC}(\mathrm{TG})_{12}$ | 0 | - | 64 |
|  |  | S: | 0 | - | 64 |
| Ml_106_C203 | F: CGGAGGCGACGGAGGAAG | $\mathrm{N}:(\mathrm{TG})_{5} \mathrm{TA}(\mathrm{TG})_{2} \mathrm{CG}(\mathrm{TG})_{4}$ | 0 | 1 | 65 |
|  | R: CCTCAATTCCCTCGGTTGCTG | $\mathrm{C}:(\mathrm{TG}){ }_{8} \mathrm{CG}(\mathrm{TG})_{4}$ | 0 | 1 | 66 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{S}:\left((\mathrm{TG})_{6} \mathrm{CG}(\mathrm{TG})_{4}\right.$ | 0 | 1 | 65 |
| M1_39_C4313 | F: ATCGTTTCAGGGCAATCACTG | $\mathrm{N}:(\mathrm{AG})_{6}(\mathrm{TG})_{5}$ | 0 | - | 56-58* |
|  | R: TCATCCCTCCGTACCTGC | $\mathrm{C}:(\mathrm{AG})_{6}(\mathrm{TG})_{6}$ | 0 | - | 62 |
|  |  | S: $(\mathrm{AG})_{6}(\mathrm{TG})_{5}$ | 0 | - | 62 |
| M1_39_C37 | F: CGGCGATGATCGACTGAGATAGAA | $\mathrm{N}:(\mathrm{TGTGTGTGTA})_{5}(\mathrm{TG})_{12}$ | 0.05 | 1 | 65 |
|  | R: GATGTCCCACCAACGATTGATGG | C: (TG) ${ }_{24}$ | 0.05 | 1 | 65 |
|  |  | S: (TG) ${ }_{14}$ | 0.05 | 1 | 65 |
| M1_39_C3942 | F: CAATGATCGTATTTACTTCGTAGCG | $\mathrm{N}:(\mathrm{CGT})_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{12}(\mathrm{AT})_{5}(\mathrm{CT})_{2}$ | 0.2 | 1 | 59-61* |
|  | R: GCTAACCACAATACTGGCT | $\mathrm{C}:$ $(\mathrm{CGT})_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{10}(\mathrm{AT})_{9} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{AT})_{2}(\mathrm{CT})_{3}$ | 0.2 | 1 | 61 |
|  |  | S: | 0.2 | 1 | 59-61* |

Ta annealing temperature for the PCR program.

* When PCR conditions were different between sites from the same lineage, the range of Ta and BSA and Mg2 concentration used are given.
${ }^{E}$ A single haploid (i.e. a female gametophyte) specimen was used as the source of DNA for each three cytoplasmic lineages of $M$. laminarioides to construct the 454 libraries. Samples from Fray Jorge ( $30^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{S} / 71^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ ), Constitución ( $35^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{S} / 72^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ ) and Chiloe ( $41^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{S} / 71^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ ) were used for the northern, central and southern lineage respectively (Montecinos et al. 2012). BLAST searches between the three cytoplasmic lineages contig files were performed to identify microsatellite loci sequenced in more than one of our three 454 libraries (see Material and Methods).

Table S2: Counts of loci for each combination of microsatellite category (di-, tri-, tetra-, and pentanucleotides) and number of perfect tandem repeat units in each Mazzaella laminarioides cytoplasmic lineage (i.e. "north", "center" and "south).

|  |  | $\mathrm{N}^{\circ} \mathrm{Rep}$. | N |  | $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ Rep. | N |  | $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ Rep. | N |  | $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ Rep. | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Di | 4 | 791 | Tri | 4 | 99 | Tetra | 4 | 9 | Penta | 4 | 10 |
|  | Di | 5 | 113 | Tri | 5 | 15 | Tetra | 5 | 0 | Penta | 5 | 0 |
|  | Di | 6 | 22 | Tri | 6 | 6 | Tetra | 6 | 1 | Penta | 6 | 0 |
|  | Di | 7 | 10 | Tri | 7 | 2 | Tetra | 7 | 0 | Penta | 7 | 0 |
|  | Di | 8 | 3 | Tri | 8 | 0 | Tetra | 8 | 0 | Penta | 8 | 0 |
|  | Di | 9 | 0 | Tri | 9 | 1 | Tetra | 9 | 0 | Penta | 9 | 0 |
|  | Di | 10 | 2 | Tri | 10 | 0 | Tetra | 10 | 0 | Penta | 10 | 0 |
|  | Di | >10 | 7 | Tri | >10 | 0 | Tetra | >10 | 0 | Penta | >10 | 0 |


|  |  | $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ Rep. | N |  | $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ Rep. | N |  | $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ Rep. | N |  | $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ Rep. | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Di | 4 | 1317 | Tri | 4 | 129 | Tetra | 4 | 10 | Penta | 4 | 11 |
|  | Di | 5 | 175 | Tri | 5 | 35 | Tetra | 5 | 3 | Penta | 5 | 1 |
|  | Di | 6 | 41 | Tri | 6 | 11 | Tetra | 6 | 0 | Penta | 6 | 0 |
|  | Di | 7 | 22 | Tri | 7 | 1 | Tetra | 7 | 1 | Penta | 7 | 1 |
|  | Di | 8 | 11 | Tri | 8 | 4 | Tetra | 8 | 0 | Penta | 8 | 0 |
|  | Di | 9 | 5 | Tri | 9 | 8 | Tetra | 9 | 0 | Penta | 9 | 0 |
|  | Di | 10 | 3 | Tri | 10 | 0 | Tetra | 10 | 0 | Penta | 10 | 0 |
|  | Di | $>10$ | 13 | Tri | >10 | 3 | Tetra | $>10$ | 0 | Penta | $>10$ | 0 |


|  |  | $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ Rep. | N |  | $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ Rep. | N |  | $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ Rep. | N |  | $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ Rep. | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Di | 4 | 471 | Tri | 4 | 49 | Tetra | 4 | 7 | Penta | 4 | 8 |
|  | Di | 5 | 68 | Tri | 5 | 12 | Tetra | 5 | 1 | Penta | 5 | 0 |
|  | Di | 6 | 17 | Tri | 6 | 5 | Tetra | 6 | 1 | Penta | 6 | 1 |
|  | Di | 7 | 7 | Tri | 7 | 2 | Tetra | 7 | 1 | Penta | 7 | 0 |
|  | Di | 8 | 4 | Tri | 8 | 0 | Tetra | 8 | 0 | Penta | 8 | 0 |
|  | Di | 9 | 2 | Tri | 9 | 0 | Tetra | 9 | 0 | Penta | 9 | 0 |
|  | Di | 10 | 1 | Tri | 10 | 2 | Tetra | 10 | 0 | Penta | 10 | 0 |
|  | Di | >10 | 6 | Tri | >10 | 2 | Tetra | >10 | 0 | Penta | >10 | 0 |

Table S3: Genetic variability within sites of Mazzaella laminarioides for the 12 microsatellite loci. Number of individuals analyzed ( $n$ ); ratio of individuals successfully genotyped (NI/n), with $N I=$ number of individuals successfully genotyped and $n=$ number of individuals analyzed; number of alleles per locus ( Na ); expected heterozygosity ( He ); observed heterozygosity ( Ho ). For each single locus $F_{\text {IS }}$ estimates, significant departure from panmixia was tested by running 1,000 permutations of alleles among individuals within sites using GENETIX 4.05 software (Belkhir et al. 1996-2004). $F_{\text {IS }}$ values significantly different from zero are shown in bold. Null allele frequency ( $N f$ ) obtained with MICRO-CHECKER (Oosterhout et al. 2004; Brookfield equation 2, Brookfield 1996), locus showing a significant frequency of null alleles are shown in bold.

|  | Geographic origin of sampled sites | Northern cytoplasmic lineage |  | Central cytoplasmic lineage |  | Southern cytoplasmic lineage |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loci | Site | SAU | MIT | MTM | LEB | PIL | MLK | All |
|  | $n$ | 16 | 18 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 96 |
| M1_106C462 | NI/n | 1 | 1 | 0.94 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.99 |
|  | Na | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 9 |
|  | He | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.56 | 0.76 |
|  | Но | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.43 | 0.11 |
|  | $F_{\text {IS }}$ | - | - | - | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.27 |  |
|  | $p$ | - | - | - | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.172 |  |
|  | Nf |  |  |  | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.09 |  |
| M1_106C10 | NI/n | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Na | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 12 |
|  | He | 0.33 | 0.7 | 0.23 | 0.53 | 0.48 | 0.46 | 0.81 |


|  | $\begin{gathered} H o \\ F_{\mathrm{IS}} \\ p \\ N f \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.31 \\ 0.08 \\ 0.389 \\ 0.01 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.72 \\ 0 \\ 0.588 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.25 \\ -0.08 \\ 0.802 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \mathbf{- 0 . 8 8} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ -0.02 \\ 0.616 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.64 \\ -0.38 \\ 0.142 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 0.57 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ml_39C69 | NI/n | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.94 | 1 | 0.99 |
|  | Na | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 11 |
|  | He | 0.22 | 0.5 | 0.28 | 0.54 | 0.55 | 0.69 | 0.83 |
|  | Но | 0.25 | 0.51 | 0.25 | 0.38 | 0.87 | 0.71 | 0.57 |
|  | $F_{\text {IS }}$ | -0.11 | -0.89 | 0.12 | 0.33 | -0.54 | 0.01 |  |
|  | $p$ | 0.814 | 0.001 | 0.316 | 0.109 | 0.014 | 0.579 |  |
|  | Nf | 0 | 0 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0 |  |
| Ml_106C75 | NI/n | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Na | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 10 |
|  | He | 0.54 | 0.73 | 0.59 | 0.54 | 0.71 | 0.64 | 0.81 |
|  | Ho | 0.25 | 0.56 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.5 | 0.43 | 0.4 |
|  | $F_{\text {IS }}$ | 0.56 | 0.26 | 0.5 | 0.45 | 0.33 | 0.37 |  |
|  | $p$ | 0.006 | 0.056 | 0.007 | 0.015 | 0.018 | 0.036 |  |
|  | Nf | 0.19 | 0.1 | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.13 |  |
| Ml_106C32 | NI/n | 1 | 1 | 0.88 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.98 |
|  | Na | 5 | 10 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 26 |
|  | He | 0.56 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.22 | 0.56 | 0.87 |
|  | Ho | 0.63 | 0.5 | 0.71 | 0.19 | 0.25 | 0.57 | 0.47 |
|  | $F_{\text {IS }}$ | -0.08 | 0.4 | 0.15 | 0.65 | -0.11 | 0.01 |  |
|  | $p$ | 0.472 | 0 | 0.183 | 0 | 0.831 | 0.576 |  |
|  | Nf | 0 | 0.17 | 0.26 | 0.21 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Ml_106C1748 | NI/n | 0.5 | 0.72 | 0.38 | 0.94 | 0.5 | 0.93 | 0.65 |
|  | Na | 5 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 8 | 16 |
|  | He | 0.61 | 0.83 | 0.79 | 0.28 | 0.83 | 0.8 | 0.88 |


|  | Ho | 0.38 | 0.69 | 0.5 | 0.33 | 0.75 | 0.77 | 0.57 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $F_{\text {IS }}$ | 0.44 | 0.21 | 0.44 | -0.17 | 0.16 | 0.07 |  |
|  | $p$ | 0.055 | 0.083 | 0.03 | 0.653 | 0.226 | 0.437 |  |
|  | $N f$ | 0.72 | 0.45 | 0.82 | 0.19 | 0.66 | 0.16 |  |
| M1_39C1451 | NI/n | 0.94 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.99 |
|  | Na | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|  | He | 0.18 | 0.28 | 0.56 | 0.31 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.66 |
|  | Но | 0.07 | 0.22 | 0.57 | 0.38 | 0.63 | 0.64 | 0.32 |
|  | $F_{\text {IS }}$ | 0.66 | 0.23 | 1 | -0.2 | -0.43 | -0.21 |  |
|  | $p$ | 0.033 | 0.396 | 0 | 0.582 | 0.152 | 0.28 |  |
|  | Nf | 0.28 | 0.04 | 0.36 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Ml_39C5118 | NI/n | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Na | 1 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 10 |
|  | He | 0 | 0.45 | 0.59 | 0.64 | 0.23 | 0.5 | 0.72 |
|  | Ho | 0 | 0.47 | 0.06 | 0.63 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
|  | $F_{\text {IS }}$ | - | 0.17 | 0.9 | 0.05 | -0.08 | 0.03 |  |
|  | $p$ | - | 0.304 | 0 | 0.488 | 0.8 | 0.625 |  |
|  | Nf |  | 0.04 | 0.33 | 0.01 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Ml_106C203 | NI/n | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Na | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  | He | 0 | 0 | 0.06 | 0.26 | 0 | 0 | 0.47 |
|  | Ho | 0 | 0 | 0.06 | 0.31 | 0 | 0 | 0.06 |
|  | $F_{\text {IS }}$ | - | - | 0 | -0.15 | - | - |  |
|  | $p$ | - | - | 0.8 | 0.682 | - | - |  |
|  | Nf |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Ml_39C4313 | NI/n | 1 | 1 | 0.94 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.99 |
|  | Na | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 6 |
|  | He | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.29 | 0.45 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.59 |


|  | Ho | 0.69 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.69 | 0 | 1 | 0.48 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $F_{\text {IS }}$ | -0.11 | 0.56 | -0.13 | -0.5 | - | -1 |  |
|  | $p$ | 0.396 | 0 | 0.685 | 0.075 | - | 0 |  |
|  | Nf | 0 | 0.2 | 0.19 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| M1_39C37 | NI/n | 1 | 1 | 0.88 | 1 | 1 | 0.93 | 0.97 |
|  | Na | 4 | 9 | 10 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 23 |
|  | He | 0.56 | 0.8 | 0.84 | 0.53 | 0.27 | 0.7 | 0.86 |
|  | Ho | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.64 | 0.25 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.4 |
|  | $F_{\text {IS }}$ | 0.25 | 0.47 | 0.27 | 0.55 | -0.12 | 0.58 |  |
|  | $p$ | 0.186 | 0 | 0.012 | 0.05 | 0.675 | 0 |  |
|  | Nf | 0.08 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.18 | 0 | 0.34 |  |
| Ml_106C3942 | NI/n | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.94 | 1 | 0.99 |
|  | Na | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
|  | He | 0.22 | 0.11 | 0 | 0.61 | 0.28 | 0.5 | 0.63 |
|  | Ho | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0 | 0.94 | 0.33 | 1 | 0.4 |
|  | $F_{\text {IS }}$ | 0.46 | -0.03 | - | -0.52 | -0.17 | -1 |  |
|  | $p$ | 0.009 | 0.978 |  | 0.009 | 0.679 | 0 |  |
|  | Nf | 0.08 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.19 | 0 |  |

Table S4: Pairwise multilocus estimates of $F_{\mathrm{ST}}(\theta)$ (Weir and Cockerham 1984). Values above the diagonal correspond to estimates calculated using the uncorrected 9 loci dataset while the values below the diagonal correspond to estimates calculated using the 9 loci dataset corrected for null alleles using Brookfield equation 2 (Brookfield 1996) implemented in MICRO-CHECKER (Oosterhout et al. 2004). Regardless of the dataset tested, all estimates were associated with significant values of $p$, as assessed by running 1000 permutations using GENETIX 4.05 (Belkhir et al. 1996-2004).

|  | SAU | MIT | MTM | LEB | PIL | MLK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SAU | - | 0.398 | 0.563 | 0.549 | 0.581 | 0.482 |
| MIT | 0.389 | - | 0.505 | 0.469 | 0.537 | 0.431 |
| MTM | 0.562 | 0.494 | - | 0.434 | 0.574 | 0.402 |
| LEB | 0.542 | 0.457 | 0.420 | - | 0.358 | 0.318 |
| PIL | 0.571 | 0.535 | 0.571 | 0.350 | - | 0.253 |
| MLK | 0.479 | 0.431 | 0.389 | 0.312 | 0.249 | - |



Figure S1: Allele frequency distributions for the nine microsatellite loci observed in each of the six study sites. Sites on the $x$-axis are ranged from north to south. Numbers on the $y$-axis are allele sizes in base pairs for each locus. Each circle indicates presence of corresponding allele; diameter of circle represents frequency of that allele in the site. Cytoplasmic lineages (M. laminarioides "north", "center" and "south") are noted below the site codes.
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Figure S1: Continued from previous page

Figure S2: The posterior probability of the data given K (noted $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X} \mid \mathrm{K})$, dark circle) and $\Delta \mathrm{K}$ (white circle) results are given for $\mathrm{K}=1$ to 7 using the results of the Bayesian analysis obtained with STRUCTURE.


Figure S3: Bayesian analysis using INSTRUCT for the six study sites of Mazzaella laminarioides. A) Estimated Deviance Information Criterion (DIC) for values of $K=1$ to 7. B) Results of the genetic clustering of the 96 Mazzaella laminarioides samples assuming K6. Each vertical bar represents a different individual. Each color represents the proportion of individual genome assigned to each genetic group and * indicates individuals for which a secondary cluster represents more than $5 \%$ of the genome (i.e. admixed individuals). Individuals are ordered from north to south.

## INSTRUCT RESULTS



| North | Center | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |



